

NO. S-236918 VANCOUVER REGISTRY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

BETWEEN:

CHERYL WEEKS, ANJA BERGLER, HELEN IRVINE, CARY RYAN, LAUREN PHILLIPS, and ANN-SUE PIPER

PLAINTIFFS

AND:

CITY OF ABBOTSFORD, DISTRICT OF CENTRAL SAANICH, CITY OF DELTA, TOWNSHIP OF ESQUIMALT, CITY OF NELSON, CITY OF NEW WESTMINSTER, DISTRICT OF OAK BAY, CITY OF PORT MOODY, CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF SAANICH, CITY OF SURREY, CITY OF VANCOUVER, CITY OF VICTORIA, DISTRICT OF WEST VANCOUVER, ABBOTSFORD POLICE BOARD, CENTRAL SAANICH POLICE BOARD, DELTA POLICE BOARD, VICTORIA AND ESQUIMALT POLICE BOARD, NELSON POLICE BOARD, NEW WESTMINSTER POLICE BOARD, OAK BAY POLICE BOARD, NEW WESTMINSTER POLICE BOARD, SAANICH POLICE BOARD, SURREY POLICE BOARD, VANCOUVER POLICE BOARD, WEST VANCOUVER POLICE BOARD, VANCOUVER POLICE BOARD, WEST VANCOUVER POLICE BOARD, POLICE COMPLAINT COMMISSIONER OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, HIS MAJESTY KING IN RIGHT OF PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, MINISTER OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND SOLICITOR GENERAL

DEFENDANTS

Brought pursuant to the Class Proceedings Act, RSBC 1996, c. 50

RESPONSE TO AMENDED NOTICE OF CIVIL CLAIM

Filed by: Saanich Police Board ("SPB")

Part 1: RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF CIVIL CLAIM FACTS

Division 1 – SPB's Response to Facts

- 1. Unless expressly admitted herein SPB denies each and every allegation of fact contained in Part 1 of the Amended Notice of Civil Claim ("ANOCC"), including that the criteria for certification of this action as a class proceeding pursuant to s. 4 of the *Class Proceedings Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 50 (the "*CPA*"), can be met in the circumstances.
- 2. None of the facts alleged in Part 1 of the ANOCC are admitted.
- 3. The facts alleged in paragraphs 1 4, 11, 12, 21, 27 29 and 35 59 of Part 1 of the ANOCC are denied insofar as they relate to the claims against SPB. The facts alleged

in these paragraphs are outside the knowledge of SPB insofar as they relate to the other Defendants.

4. The facts alleged in paragraphs 5 - 10, 13 - 20, 22 - 26, and 30 - 34 of Part 1 of the ANOCC are outside the knowledge of SPB.

Division 2 – SPB's Version of Facts

5. SPB adopts and relies upon the facts and pleadings set out in paragraphs 6 - 14 of Part 1 of the Response to Civil Claim filed by the District Municipality of Saanich ("Saanich") in these proceedings.

Division 3 – Additional Facts

Applicable Collective Agreements and Policies

- 6. At all material times, SPB had in place protocols, policies, systems, procedures, and standards that were reasonable and proper, in accordance with the applicable standard of care and all applicable legislative schemes, including but not limited to the *Police Act*, the *Workers Compensation Act* and the *Code*.
- 7. SPB adopts and relies upon the facts and pleadings set out in paragraphs 16 22 of Part 1 of the Response to Civil Claim filed by Saanich in this proceeding.

The Plaintiffs Claims

- 8. None of the Plaintiffs were employed by SPB at the material times.
- 9. SPB adopts and relies upon the facts and pleadings set out in paragraphs 23 24 of Part 1 of the Response to Civil Claim filed by Saanich in this proceeding. In particular, the ANOCC does not allege any facts that could constitute a cause of action of any kind against SPB.
- 10. Further and in the alternative, SPB denies it owed a duty of care, contractual, statutory, or otherwise, to the Plaintiffs and/or the proposed class of plaintiffs.
- 11. In the further alternative, SPB denies it breached any such duty, contractual, statutory, or otherwise, as alleged or at all, and puts the Plaintiffs to the strict proof thereof.
- 12. In response to the whole of the ANOCC and in specific response to paragraphs 1, 3, 37, 38 and 51 56 of Part 1 of the ANOCC, SPB denies the existence of a "systemic culture of gender and sexual orientation-based harassment and discrimination" in the Saanich Police Department.
- 13. In the alternative, SPB denies that it, or any of its staff, employees, agents, or others for whom it is responsible, were complicit in such a culture, as alleged or at all.
- 14. In response to paragraph 58 of Part 1 of the ANOCC, SPB expressly denies that the *Charter* rights of the Plaintiffs and/or the proposed class of plaintiffs were breached as alleged or at all.

- 15. In response to paragraph 59 of Part 1 of the ANOCC, SPB denies that Gendered Discrimination, as defined in paragraph 54 of Part 1 of the ANOCC, caused or contributed to any suicides.
- 16. In the alternative, SPB denies that it, or any of its staff, employees, agents, or others for whom it is responsible, were complicit in such Gendered Discrimination, as alleged or at all.
- 17. SPB denies that the Plaintiffs and/or the proposed class of plaintiffs suffered injuries, loss, damage, or expense, as alleged or at all.
- 18. In the alternative, if the Plaintiffs and/or the proposed class of plaintiffs did suffer any injury, loss, damage and/or expense as alleged or at all, which is denied, the same was not caused or contributed to by any act, omission, negligence, fault and/or breach of duty of SPB.
- 19. Further, or in the alternative, if the Plaintiffs and/or the proposed class of plaintiffs did suffer any injury, loss, damage and/or expense as alleged or at all, which is denied, such injury, loss, damage and/or expense is attributable to their previous and/or subsequent injuries, traumas, congenital defects, medical conditions, or events.
- 20. Further, or in the alternative, if the Plaintiffs and/or the proposed class of plaintiffs did suffer any injury, loss, damage and/or expense as alleged or at all, which is denied, they failed to take reasonable steps to mitigate their harm, loss, or expense.
- 21. In answer to the whole of the ANOCC, and in specific response to paragraphs 103 and 104 of the ANOCC, SPB denies it is an agent of Saanich and further denies it is liable to the Plaintiffs by statute, common law or otherwise.

Part 2: RESPONSE TO RELIEF SOUGHT

- 1. SPB consents to the granting of the relief sought in NONE of the paragraphs of Part 2 of the ANOCC.
- 2. SPB opposes the granting of the relief sought in paragraphs 60 64 of Part 2 of the ANOCC.
- 3. SPB seeks an order that the Plaintiffs' claims against it be dismissed with costs payable to SPB.

Part 3: LEGAL BASIS

- 1. SPB denies each and every allegation in the ANOCC, whether fact or law.
- 2. SPB adopts and relies on the Legal Basis set out in paragraphs 4 64 of Part 3 of the Response to Civil Claim filed by Saanich and says that no claim lies against SPB for the reasons set out therein.

- 3. SPB pleads and relies upon the past and current provisions of the following enactments including with respect to any statutory defences set out therein:
 - (a) Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (UK), 1982, c. 11
 - (b) Class Proceedings Act, RSBC 1996, c. 50;
 - (c) Community Charter, SBC 2003, c. 26;
 - (d) Family Compensation Act, RSBC 1996, c. 126;
 - (e) Health Care Costs Recovery Act, SBC 2008, c. 27;
 - (f) Human Rights Code, RSBC 1996, c. 210;
 - (g) Labour Relations Code, RSBC 1996, c. 244;
 - (h) Limitation Act, RSBC 1996, c. 266;
 - (i) Limitation Act, SBC 2012, c. 13;
 - (j) Local Government Act, RSBC 2015, c. 1;
 - (k) Negligence Act, RSBC 1996, c. 333;
 - (I) Police Act, RSBC 1996, c. 367;
 - (m) Police Amendment Act, 2023, S.B.C. 2023, c. 3;
 - (n) Police Amendment Act, 2024, S.B.C. 2024, c. 16;
 - (o) *Privacy Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 373;
 - (p) Workers Compensation Act, RSBC 2019, c. 1; and
 - (q) Such further and other enactments as SPB may advise.

SPB's address for service:

Alexander Holburn Beaudin + Lang LLP Barristers and Solicitors 2700 - 700 West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC V7Y 1B8 Attention: David T. McKnight and Naomi J. Krueger

Fax number address for service:

E-mail address for service:

Dated: September 5, 2024

604-484-9700

dmcknight@ahbl.ca and nkrueger@ahbl.ca

Per: David T. McKnight, and Naomi J. Krueger, lawyers for the Defendant, Saanich Police Board Rule 7-1 (1) of the Supreme Court Civil Rules states:

- (1) Unless all parties of record consent or the court otherwise orders, each party of record to an action must, within 35 days after the end of the pleading period,
 - (a) prepare a list of documents in Form 22 that lists
 - (i) all documents that are or have been in the party's possession or control and that could, if available, be used by any party at trial to prove or disprove a material fact, and
 - (ii) all other documents to which the party intends to refer at trial, and
 - (b) serve the list on all parties of record.